



## Complete Summary

---

### TITLE

Diabetes mellitus: hospital admission rate for uncontrolled diabetes.

### SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## Measure Domain

### PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Population Health

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the [Measure Validity](#) page.

### SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Access

## Brief Abstract

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is used to assess the number of admissions for uncontrolled diabetes per 100,000 population.

As a Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI), uncontrolled diabetes is not a measure of hospital quality, but rather one measure of outpatient and other health care. Rates of diabetes may vary systematically by area, creating bias for this indicator.

### RATIONALE

Prevention is an important role for all health care providers. Providers can help individuals stay healthy by preventing disease, and they can prevent complications of existing disease by helping patients live with their illnesses. To fulfill this role, however, providers need data on the impact of their services and the opportunity to compare these data over time or across communities. Local,

State, and Federal policymakers also need these tools and data to identify potential access or quality-of-care problems related to prevention, to plan specific interventions, and to evaluate how well these interventions meet the goals of preventing illness and disability.

While these indicators use hospital inpatient data, their focus is an outpatient health care. Except in the case of patients who are readmitted soon after discharge from a hospital, the quality of inpatient care is unlikely to be a significant determinant of admission rates for ambulatory care sensitive conditions. Rather, the Prevention Quality Indicators (PQIs) assess the quality of the health care system as a whole, and especially the quality of ambulatory care, in preventing medical complications. As a result, these measures are likely to be of the greatest value when calculated at the population level and when used by public health groups, State data organizations, and other organizations concerned with the health of populations.

These indicators serve as a screening tool rather than as definitive measures of quality problems. They can provide initial information about potential problems in the community that may require further, more in-depth analysis.

Uncontrolled diabetes should be used in conjunction with short-term complications of diabetes, which include diabetic ketoacidosis, hyperosmolarity, and coma. This indicator is designed to be combined with the short-term complications of diabetes measure (see the related National Quality Measures Clearinghouse [NQMC] summary of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ] indicator [Diabetes Mellitus: Hospital Admission Rate for Short-term Complications](#)) to create the Healthy People 2010 indicator. To do so, users may simply add the rates of the two indicators together.

Proper outpatient treatment and adherence to care may reduce the incidence of uncontrolled diabetes.

#### PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Uncontrolled diabetes mellitus; hospital admission rates

#### DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

Population in Metro Area or county, age 18 years and older

#### NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Discharges, age 18 years and older, with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) principal diagnosis codes\* for uncontrolled diabetes, without mention of a short-term or long-term complication. Patients transferring from another institution, or Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) are excluded.

\*Refer to Technical Specifications document cited in the "Companion Documents" field for ICD-9-CM codes.

## Evidence Supporting the Measure

### EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE VALUE OF MONITORING THE ASPECT OF POPULATION HEALTH

- One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

## Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

### NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Monitoring health state(s)  
Variation in health state(s)

### EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## State of Use of the Measure

### STATE OF USE

Current routine use

### CURRENT USE

Monitoring health state(s)  
National reporting

## Application of Measure in its Current Use

### CARE SETTING

Ambulatory Care  
Community Health Care

### PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Advanced Practice Nurses  
Physician Assistants  
Physicians  
Public Health Professionals

### LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Counties or Cities

#### TARGET POPULATION AGE

Age greater than or equal to 18 years

#### TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

#### STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

### Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

#### INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

#### ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Minorities have higher rates of diabetes, and higher hospitalization rates may result in areas with higher minority concentrations.

#### EVIDENCE FOR ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

#### BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

#### UTILIZATION

Unspecified

#### COSTS

Unspecified

### Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

#### IOM CARE NEED

Living with Illness

## IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness  
Timeliness

### Data Collection for the Measure

#### CASE FINDING

Both users and nonusers of care

#### DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

Population in Metro Area or county, age 18 years and older

#### DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Geographically defined

#### DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

##### Inclusions

Population in Metro Area or county, age 18 years and older

##### Exclusions

Unspecified

#### RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR

All cases in the denominator are not equally eligible to appear in the numerator

#### DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Patient Characteristic

#### DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

#### NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

##### Inclusions

Discharges, age 18 years and older, with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) principal diagnosis codes\* for uncontrolled diabetes, without mention of a short-term or long-term complication

\*Refer to Technical Specifications document cited in the "Companion Documents" field for ICD-9-CM codes.

#### Exclusions

Patients transferring from another institution, or Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) are excluded.

#### MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

#### NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Institutionalization

#### DATA SOURCE

Administrative data

#### LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Does not apply to this measure

#### TYPE OF HEALTH STATE

Adverse Health State

#### PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

### Computation of the Measure

#### SCORING

Rate

#### INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

A lower score is desirable

#### ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors, geographic factors, etc.)  
Risk adjustment method widely or commercially available

#### DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Observed (raw) rates may be stratified by areas (Metro Areas or counties), age groups, race/ethnicity categories, and sex.

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using age and sex.

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

## STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison at a point in time  
External comparison of time trends  
Internal time comparison  
Prescriptive standard

## PRESCRIPTIVE STANDARD

Healthy People 2010 has established a goal to reduce the hospitalization rate for uncontrolled diabetes in persons 18 to 64 years of age from 7.2 per 10,000 population to 5.4 per 10,000 population. Combining this indicator with the short-term diabetes indicator (see the related National Quality Measures Clearinghouse [NQMC] summary of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ] indicator [Diabetes Mellitus: Hospital Admission Rate for Short-term Complications](#)) will result in the Healthy People 2010 measure, except that this Quality Indicator (QI) excludes transfers from another institution to reduce double counting of cases. As a result the rate for the AHRQ QI may be minimally lower than the Healthy People 2010 indicator.

## EVIDENCE FOR PRESCRIPTIVE STANDARD

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2010: understanding and improving health. Conference ed. Washington (DC): Government Printing Office; 2000.

## Evaluation of Measure Properties

## EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

Each potential quality indicator was evaluated against the following six criteria, which were considered essential for determining the reliability and validity of a quality indicator: face validity, precision, minimum bias, construct validity, fosters real quality improvement, and application. The project team searched Medline for articles relating to each of these six areas of evaluation. Additionally, extensive empirical testing of all potential indicators was conducted using the 1995-97 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) and Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) to determine precision, bias, and construct validity. Table 1 in the original measure documentation summarizes the results of the literature review and empirical evaluations on the Prevention Quality Indicators (PQI). Refer to the original measure documentation for details.

## EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## Identifying Information

### ORIGINAL TITLE

Uncontrolled diabetes admission rate (PQI 14).

### MEASURE COLLECTION

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Quality Indicators](#)

### MEASURE SET NAME

[Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Prevention Quality Indicators](#)

### DEVELOPER

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

### ENDORSER

National Quality Forum

### INCLUDED IN

National Healthcare Disparities Report (NHDR)  
National Healthcare Quality Report (NHQR)

### ADAPTATION

This measure corresponds closely with the measure of short-term diabetes that was developed by Billings and colleagues.

### PARENT MEASURE

Unspecified

### RELEASE DATE

2001 Oct

### REVISION DATE

2006 Feb



## MEASURE STATUS

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates a previous version: AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 2.1, revision 4]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 Nov 24. 115 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to prevention quality indicators: hospital admission for ambulatory care sensitive conditions [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 58 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0203).

## MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Uncontrolled Diabetes Admission Rate (PQI 14)," is published in "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Guide to Prevention Quality Indicators: Hospital Admission for Ambulatory Sensitive Conditions." This document is available in [Portable Document Format \(PDF\)](#) from the [Prevention Quality Indicators Download page](#) at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators Web site.

For more information, please contact the QI Support Team at [support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov](mailto:support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov).

## COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: technical specifications [version 3.0b]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 May 1. 20 p. (AHRQ Pub; no 02-R0202). This document is available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality \(AHRQ\) Quality Indicators Web site](#).
- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: software documentation [version 3a] - SAS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 35 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0202). This document is available in PDF from the [AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site](#).
- AHRQ quality indicators. Prevention quality indicators: software documentation [version 3a] - SPSS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 32 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 02-R0207). This document is available in PDF from the [AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site](#).
- AHRQ quality indicators. Software documentation: Windows [version 3.0]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 72 p. This document is available in PDF from the [AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site](#).

- Prevention quality indicators (PQI): covariates, version 3.0a. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 Feb 20. 10 p. This document is available in PDF from the [AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site](#).
- Remus D, Fraser I. Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for hospital-level public reporting or payment. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2004 Aug. 24 p. This document is available in PDF from the [AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site](#).
- UCSF-Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center. Davies GM, Geppert J, McClellan M, et al. Refinement of the HCUP quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2001 May. (Technical review; no. 4). This document is available in PDF from the [AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site](#).
- HCUPnet, Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. [internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 [Various pagings]. HCUPnet is available from the [AHRQ Web site](#).

## NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on December 19, 2002. The information was verified by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality on January 9, 2003. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI on April 6, 2004, February 18, 2005, and again on February 27, 2006. The information was verified by the measure developer on July 31, 2006.

## COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.

© 2006 National Quality Measures Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/25/2006

**FIRSTGOV**

